Sports sans Sportsmanship

POINTS TO DEVELOP

Social character of sports.

Philosophy of sports could encourage world peace.

Sportsmanship-essence of sports evoking fair play as against winning at all cost.

Violence and sports.

Degeneration of the sportsmanship spirit today.

Part of the degeneration is due to the fierce nationalistic feelings; this nationalism can become ugly in sports, and infects the spectators as well.

Genuine interest in sports implies sportsmanship and appreciation without biasan almost unattainable ideal.

Modern day competitive spirit both good and bad f0! sports; investment of big money in sports also kills sportsmanship spirit.

Rat race effect seen in sports also.

Media coverage adds to this" mega event" effect.

People need to contemplate how to retain dignity and grace in defeat.

SPORTS events are essentially social in character. They facilitate the coming together of people from various parts of the country, at a national level, and various parts of the world, at the international level. Sports make people cross cultural barriers and speak a language that is understood by all.

The World Sports Congress, _ held in New Delhi. emphasised that sports could play a major role in promoting and propagating peace, provided one understood the spirit of global participation and the philosophy of sports. This philosophy of sports is based on the assumption that a "game will be played in the spirit of the game". Rules of decency and decorum will on no account he violated by all those related to sports. The spirit of sports is to embody a combination of qualities such as fairness, courtesy: generosity, grace and decency or in one-word sportsmanship. Sports does not simply involve players, spectators, sports authorities, media, sponsors, in fact each and every group or faction that is even remotely associated with sports is a fundamental part of an event. And all of them, then, are expected to maintain a code of conduct and nurture the sporting spirit. Sportsmanship is the soul or essence of sports. It is a mental or psychological attitude that arouses a sense of fair play. Unless this psychological set-up is maintained. sports would become a testing ground of more physical prowess and virility. Sports sans sportsmanship is a war, a combat where winning is an end in itself. If sports encourage the development of a fine character, sports sans sportsmanship encourages outbursts of foul and animal instincts. When Roman satirist Horace commented around 2,000 years ago- "Sports begets tumultuous strife and wrath, and wrath begets fierce quarrels"—he probably meant sports devoid of sportive spirit. George Orwell, in the modern context, remarked: "Serious sport has nothing to do with fair play. It is bound up with hatred, jealousy, boastfulness, disregard of all rules and sadistic pleasure in witnessing violence: in other words, it is war minus the shooting."

Violence has always remained a part and parcel of sports. The legendary sporting event where slaves were thrown in the ring to fight hungry lions for the amusement of the Roman emperors are not something very different from the boxing matches where two adults thrash each other's head into pulp. The nature of spectator involvement is also probably the same. Violence, however, in the modern context is the result of the solid perversion of the desire to Win. Killer instincts, no doubt, a pro-requisite to victory but this instinct has unfortunately become negative, intolerant, unthinking and destructive. A bowler whose delivery is intended to hurt the batsman certainly embodies the Negative killer instinct. The famous cricketer, Dennis Lilee's remark- "I want to see blood splattered on the pitch" —-is an example of the manifestation of a violent spirit that will accept no defeat. For most players today, sportsmanship has secondary importance. Fairness, generosity. courtesy and who are part of the crowd derive energy to relieve their ecstasy or agony. A supportive home crowd can actually inspire and elevate the players to victory. Leander Paes's performance in Davis Cup and India's success over Pakistan in the guarter-finals of Wills World Cup at Bangalore would testify to this fact. However, the reverse is also true. Volatile crowds can make the otherwise indefatigable champions lose simply because they could not perform their best in hostile conditions. Goran Ivanisevic, the Croatian tennis player, described the passionate crowds' flag-waving as- "It was like playing in a zoo. They were screaming for everything."

Nationalism in sports is nothing new. Even nations express their ideological stand through sports. In the 1936 Berlin Olympics, Hitler attempted to prove the supremacy of the Aryan race. Moscow Olympics were boycotted by the US and its allies as a protest against Soviet troops taking up position in Afghanistan. The next Los Angeles Olympics were boycotted by the Soviet Union as a retaliatory measure. South Africa was banned from participating in the Olympics for 32

years because of its apartheid policies. One might succeed in giving a rational and sufficing explanation for such moves by nations but the point is that it hinders the fostering of sportsmanship, in all its connotations. Boycott of games or matches because of ideological differences presupposes that sports events are nothing but wars. Ironically, the participation of countries in sports events has failed to increase international fraternity. Latin American countries have virtually gone to war over a football match.

A person who is genuinely interested in sports would thoroughly enjoy a game, irrespective of who is playing. Having preferences for a particular player or a team is not Unsportsmanlike. But basing one's preferences purely on nationalist grounds and letting those preferences mar a match because of highly unruly behaviour would amount to ungamely spirit. A good shot-whether played by a Tendulkar or a Jayasuriya-should evoke the same response. Ideally, sportsmanship allows for an honest appreciation of Sports irrespective of victory or defeat (of home team or of other favourites). However, the attainment of this ideal state of maturity is almost an impossibility because most people have a tendency to watch only those events in which their own country is participating. But the crowd can at least be expected to let the match proceed without any violent disruptions and refrain from venting their anger towards the opponent team.

The ungamely attitude of both players and spectators can possibly be explained in terms of modern psyche of an individual. Life for most human beings today is a sort of competition where each one struggles to win. Everyone cannot emerge victorious; however, the insatiable greed for victory remains. For the spectators the victory of one team (mostly their favourite) gives them the vicarious pleasure of winning. They invest in the event not just in terms of money and time but also emotions. And when they make such a huge investment, they expect similar returns, some times unreasonably. A victory leaves them euphoric while defeat makes them utterly depressed leading to hysterical responses which may be highly in disciplined and undignified. Victory for them provides satisfaction of collective ego and a triumph over destiny.

Strife and quarrels during matches have become ubiquitous. Whether it is a match on the street between children or a tournament at the school/college/district level or a national/ international sports event, it is marked by tights and serious disagreements. The unyielding spirit to win and only win, at whatsoever cost, reflects the ethos of a highly insensitive and competitive society. The players exist in society where defeat is not acceptable, where losers deserve no sympathy. The pressure on them to win, by hook or crook, is so great

that the players succumb to unfair and aggressive means. They have been hailed as celebrities by the media and have to keep up their name and fame. Is it possible for them in such circumstances, with pressure to perform better from all sides, to abstain themselves from unfair means? Degeneration of sportsmanship is also a part of the process of materialisation that a society goes through. Sports heroes are literally showered with money and of other favourites). However, the attainment of this ideal state of maturity is almost an impossibility because most people have a tendency to watch only those events in which their own country is participating. But the crowd can at least be expected to let the match proceed without any violent disruptions and refrain from venting their anger towards the opponent team.

The ungamely attitude of both players and spectators can possibly be explained in terms of modern psyche of an individual. Life for most human beings today is a sort of competition where each one struggles to win. Everyone cannot emerge victorious; however, the insatiable greed for victory remains. For the spectators the victory of one team (mostly their favourite) gives them the vicarious pleasure of winning. They invest in the event not just in terms of money and time but also emotions. And when they make such a huge investment, they expect similar returns, sometimes unreasonably. A victory leaves them euphoric while defeat makes them utterly depressed leading to hysterical responses which may be highly in disciplined and undignified. Victory for them provides satisfaction of collective ego and a triumph over destiny.

Strife and quarrels during matches have become ubiquitous. Whether it is a match on the street between children or a tournament at the school/college/district level or a national/ international sports event, it is marked by tights and serious disagreements. The unyielding spirit to win and only win, at whatsoever cost, reflects the ethos of a highly insensitive and competitive society. The players exist in society where defeat is not acceptable, where losers deserve no sympathy. The pressure on them to win, by hook or crook, is so great that the players succumb to unfair and aggressive means. They have been hailed as celebrities by the media and have to keep up their name and fame. Is it possible for them in such circumstances, with pressure to perform better from all sides, to abstain themselves from unfair means? Degeneration of sportsmanship is also a part of the process of materialisation that a society goes through. Sports heroes are literally showered with money and

advertisement contracts. Sponsorships tempt players to perform better and give their best but the true sporting spirit is pushed to the edge. It is overshadowed by obsession to make money. Sports administration, commercial sponsors and the media are equally responsible for emotional flare-ups in the players and the spectators (or viewers). All of them play a dual role. They provide the much-needed facilities and encouragement to the sportsmen but have also created nightmares for them. Sponsorship and money from commercial houses ensure the availability of modern facilities for the players. They have helped (although indirectly) provide a professional touch to sports. But the question is do they promote a healthy sporting spirit and a healthy sports-loving nation? Do not the corporate sponsors, with the ulterior motive of selling their products, popularise or hype up a forthcoming sports event through advertisements and manipulate public behaviour?

The media's extensive coverage of sports events has made them mega events. It makes sportspersons celebrities in a day and creates a false image of their invincibility. The players become a victim of their image and cannot sustain the burden of media attention for long. Most of these players are young and, more often than not, exaggerated hero worship by the media and people makes them overconfident and brash. It also builds an unsurmountable pressure on them to give a consistently good performance, which is an extremely unreasonable demand.

It has been said that it is only in defeat that a human being reveals himself or herself. Losing a game creates an opportunity to show real sportsmanship-grace, dignity, generosity, resilience and nobility. Unfortunately, the players and spectators need to realise that lessons are to be learnt from defeat. One side has to win and the other has to lose, after all, in any game.